



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on the date shown below.

Dated: March 8, 2004 Signature: _____

(Gregory E. Stanton)

Docket No.: 06005/36803
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
David L. Deitz, et al.

Conf. No.: 1769

Application No.: 09/609,091

Group Art Unit: 2127

Filed: June 30, 2000

Examiner: Syed J. Ali

For: CAMPAIGN MANAGEMENT FOR BATCH
PROCESSES

Customer No. 04743


INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

RECEIVED

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

MAR 12 2004

Technology Center 2100



Dear Sir:

On January 29, 2004, Applicants' attorney, Gregory E. Stanton, conducted a telephonic interview with Examiner Ali. Claim 1 and U.S. Patent No. 6,292,708 (Allen) were discussed. The Examiner's Interview Summary of February 10, 2004 states that it was discussed that "batch processing in Allen is related to a single batch" and that "batch campaigns within Allen are only related to a single production." Applicants' attorney did not intend to convey to the Examiner that Allen only describes processing a single batch. Rather, Applicants' attorney pointed out that Allen does not disclose creating a batch campaign, but merely appears to describe configuring production equipment to operate based on a single set of recipes (i.e., a single batch). Although not discussed during the interview, Allen does mention that the single set of recipes can be used to process multiple batches. *See Allen* at col. 12,

line 64 to col. 13, line 2. Additionally, Applicants' attorney further argued that, because Allen does not disclose or suggest creating a batch campaign, several elements of claim 1 are not disclosed or suggested by Allen such as "prompting the user to enter a second input specifying campaign information to be included within the batch process campaign" and "using the first and second inputs to create the batch process campaign."

It was agreed that Applicants would set forth the arguments that were discussed in their written response to the Office Action of September 2, 2003.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 8, 2004

By: 

Gregory E. Stanton
Registration No. 45,127
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN
233 South Wacker Drive
6300 Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6357
Tel.: (312) 474-6300
Fax: (312) 474-0448
Attorney for Applicants